1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3	48 8 8 1 2 180	
4	June 24, 2014 -	
5	Concord, New Ha	Cor Administration of the Control of
6		NHPUC JUL02'14 PM 3:51
7	P	E 14-134 UBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE:
8		etition for Approval of Change in ransmission Cost Adjustment Mechanism.
9		
10 '	1	Chairman Amy L. Ignatius, Presiding Commissioner Martin P. Honigberg
11		
12		Sandy Deno, Clerk
13	N.C.	
14	1	Reptg. Public Service Co. of New Hampshire: Matthew J. Fossum, Esq.
15		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:
16		Susan Chamberlin, Esq., Consumer Advocate Stephen Eckberg
17		Jim Brennan Office of Consumer Advocate
18		
19		Reptg. PUC Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq.
20		Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Division Grant Siwinski, Electric Division
21		
22		
23	Court	Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	WITNESS PANEL: MICHAEL L. SHELNITZ	
5	LOIS B. JONES	
6	Direct examination by Mr. Fossum	6
7	Cross-examination by Ms. Chamberlin	12
8	Cross-examination by Ms. Amidon	13
9	Interrogatories by Chairman Ignatius	16
10		
11	* * *	
12		
13	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:	PAGE NO.
13 14	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:  Ms. Chamberlin	PAGE NO.
14	Ms. Chamberlin	19
14 15	Ms. Chamberlin Ms. Amidon	19 20
14 15 16	Ms. Chamberlin Ms. Amidon	19 20
14 15 16 17	Ms. Chamberlin Ms. Amidon	19 20
14 15 16 17	Ms. Chamberlin Ms. Amidon	19 20
14 15 16 17 18	Ms. Chamberlin Ms. Amidon	19 20
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ms. Chamberlin Ms. Amidon	19 20
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ms. Chamberlin Ms. Amidon	19 20

{DE 14-134} {06-24-14}

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	1	PSNH filing entitled "Petition for Approval of Change in Transmission	8
5		Cost Adjustment Mechanism", includi the Testimony of Michael L. Shelnit	_
6		with attachments, and the Testimony of Lois B. Jones, with attachments	
7		(06-13-14)	
8	2	3-Page chart entitled "PSNH July 1, 2014 Rate and Bill Comparison",	9
9		consisting of three pages entitled "Percentage Change in each Rate	
10		Component", "Rate Changes Expressed as a Percentage of Total Revenue for Each Class", and "Residential Service Rate R Typical Bill Comparisons"	
11			
12		(referred to as the "bingo sheet")	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

## 1 PROCEEDING

2 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. I'd like 3 to open the hearing this afternoon in Docket DE 14-134. 4 This is Public Service Company of New Hampshire's Petition 5 for Approval of a Change in its Transmission Cost Adjustment Mechanism, or "TCAM", for effect July 1st, 6 7 2014. PSNH made the filing May 21st. And, on May 27th, 2014, we issued an order of notice calling for a hearing 8 9 on the merits, and also set a deadline for people seeking 10 to intervene. 11 We'll begin with appearances, then see 12 if there are any intervenors, and then talk about how 13 we're going to present the evidence of Mr. Shelnitz and 14 Ms. Jones. 15 MR. FOSSUM: Good afternoon, 16 Commissioners. Matthew Fossum, for Public Service Company 17 of New Hampshire. 18 MS. CHAMBERLIN: Susan Chamberlin, 19 Consumer Advocate. With me today is Stephen Eckberg and 20 Jim Brennan. 21 MS. AMIDON: Suzanne Amidon, Commission 22 Staff. To my left is Tom Frantz, the Director of the 23 Electric Division, and to his left is Grant Siwinski, an

Analyst in the Electric Division. Good afternoon.

```
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Good afternoon.
 1
 2
       Welcome, everyone. I don't see anything in the file
 3
       indicating any parties interested in intervening. Is
       there anyone present who seeks intervention?
 4
 5
                         (No verbal response)
 6
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: It appears not.
 7
       Then, is there anything to take up before we begin with
 8
       evidence?
                         (No verbal response)
 9
10
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Doesn't look as
11
       though there is. Mr. Shelnitz and Ms. Jones jointly filed
12
       on June 13th. Is your plan to have them present as a
13
      panel?
14
                         MR. FOSSUM: Yes, it is.
15
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: And, is that
16
       acceptable to everyone?
17
                         (No verbal response)
18
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Looks as though it
19
       is. Then, why don't you have them seated.
20
                         (Whereupon Michael L. Shelnitz and
21
                         Lois B. Jones were duly sworn by the
22
                         Court Reporter.)
23
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Fossum, you may
24
       proceed.
```

1		MR. FOSSUM: Thank you.
2		MICHAEL L. SHELNITZ, SWORN
3		LOIS B. JONES, SWORN
4		DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY M	R. FOSSUM:
6	Q.	Good afternoon. Ms. Jones, could you state your name
7		and place of employment and your responsibilities for
8		the record please.
9	Α.	(Jones) Yes. My name is Lois Jones. And, my business
10		address is 780 North Commercial Street, in Manchester.
11		I'm employed by Northeast Utilities Service Company.
12		And, I'm the Team Leader in the Rates Department for
13		PSNH. My current responsibilities include
14		administration of the Company's tariff, as well as
15		general rate calculation.
16	Q.	And, Mr. Shelnitz, could you also state your name and
17		place of employment and responsibilities for the record
18		please.
19	Α.	(Shelnitz) Yes. My name is Mike, Michael Shelnitz. My
20		business address is 107 Selden Street, Berlin,
21		Connecticut. I work for Northeast Utilities Service
22		Company as Team Leader for PSNH Revenue Requirements.
23		In that position, I'm responsible for calculating
24		various revenue requirements calculations for Public

7

- 1 Service of New Hampshire, as well as doing calculations
- for the Energy Service, the Stranded Cost Recovery
- 3 Adjustment, and the TCAM.
- 4 Q. Thank you. Back on June 13th, 2014, I guess I'll start
- 5 with Mr. Shelnitz, did you submit testimony in this
- 6 docket?
- 7 A. (Shelnitz) Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. And, was that testimony prepared by you or under your
- 9 direction?
- 10 A. (Shelnitz) Yes, it was.
- 11 Q. And, if you were asked the same questions -- oh, do you
- have any updates or corrections to that testimony
- 13 today?
- 14 A. (Shelnitz) I do not.
- 15 Q. And, if you were asked the same questions that were in
- that testimony today, would your answers be the same
- 17 today?
- 18 A. (Shelnitz) Yes, they would.
- 19 Q. And, that testimony is true and accurate to the best of
- 20 your knowledge and belief?
- 21 A. (Shelnitz) Yes.
- Q. And, Ms. Jones, the same questions. Did you, back on
- June 13th, 2014, submit testimony in this docket?
- 24 A. (Jones) Yes, I did.

- Q. And, was that testimony prepared by you or under your direction?
- 3 A. (Jones) Yes.
- Q. And, do you have any corrections or updates to that testimony today?
- 6 A. (Jones) I do not.
- Q. And, if you were asked the same questions that were in that testimony today, would your answers be the same today?
- 10 A. (Jones) Yes, they would.
- 11 Q. And, that testimony is true and accurate to the best of your knowledge and belief today?
- 13 A. (Jones) Yes.
- MR. FOSSUM: With that, I would submit
  as "Exhibit 1" for identification in this docket is the
  June 13, 2014 filing of testimony of Mr. Shelnitz and Ms.
  Jones.
- 18 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: So marked.
- 19 (The document, as described, was
- 20 herewith marked as **Exhibit 1** for
- 21 identification.)
- 22 MR. FOSSUM: Consistent with the
- 23 direction received at the earlier hearings today, we have
- 24 made additional copies of the bingo sheet. We can -- I

```
1
       believe that the Clerk already has a copy of that bingo
 2
       sheet. Rather than have the witnesses reintroduce it, I
 3
       can offer that it's the same document that's been entered
 4
       for exhibit in the prior hearings held today, and that we
 5
       would enter as "Exhibit 2" in this hearing for
 6
       identification.
 7
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine.
                                                           Ι
       appreciate that. Thank you. We'll mark that as
 8
       "Exhibit 2".
 9
10
                         (The document, as described, was
                         herewith marked as Exhibit 2 for
11
12
                         identification.)
13
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If you have extra
14
       copies, that would be good. Otherwise, we can trade back
15
       and forth from the other file.
16
                         (Atty. Fossum distributing documents.)
17
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: But it's easier this
18
       way. Thank you.
19
     BY MR. FOSSUM:
20
          And, consistent with how we've done the hearings so far
21
          today, Mr. Shelnitz and Ms. Jones as may be
22
          appropriate, would you very briefly summarize what it
23
          is that the Company is requesting in this filing.
24
          (Shelnitz) Sure. In today's proceeding and filing,
     Α.
```

- Public Service of New Hampshire is requesting or proposing a decrease in its Transmission Cost

  Adjustment Mechanism rate, from the current rate of 1.714 cents per kilowatt-hour to 1.642 cents per kilowatt-hour.
  - Q. And, just I guess very, very briefly, could you very briefly describe what it is that is leading to the rate change that the Company is proposing?
  - A. (Shelnitz) Sure. There are a few things going on within the rate. But, in general, there was a large refund that was received related to LNS service in the prior -- for the prior year, and that is driving a decrease in the rate. That there are some other changes going on as well, and I can get into those.
- 15 Q. No. I don't think that's necessary at this time.
- 16 A. (Shelnitz) Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 17 Q. We'll avoid, I guess, that level of detail for now.
- 18 A. (Shelnitz) Okay.
- 19 Q. One question I did want to ask is, there was testimony
  20 in a docket this morning related to the treatment of
  21 certain Black Start and VAR revenues, and specifically
  22 the removal of those revenues from the TCAM rate and
  23 placing them in the ES rate. Do you recall that
  24 testimony from the earlier hearing?

- 1 A. (Shelnitz) Yes, I do.
- Q. And, has that shift in revenues been reflected in this filing?

11

4 A. (Shelnitz) Yes, it has.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- So, just for clarity, the revenues that previously were in the TCAM are -- have been removed for the remainder of -- or, for the term of this TCAM filing?
  - A. (Shelnitz) Correct. We have removed those revenues for the period July 1, 2014 through June 30th, 2015, consistent with those revenues being included in the ES rate for the upcoming ES period.
  - Q. Thank you. And, for Ms. Jones, I have a question. The rates that you have presented, that are presented in your testimony and calculations, were those calculated consistent with the manner in which PSNH has traditionally calculated its transmission rates?
    - A. (Jones) Yes, they were. We had a Settlement in Docket 06-028, and that Settlement describes how the rates would be changed each year with the overall change in the TCAM.
- Q. And, so, just to close that out. So, this has been calculated consistent with that practice, is that correct?
- 24 A. (Jones) Yes, it has.

- 1 MR. FOSSUM: Thank you. I have nothing
- 2 further at this time.
- 3 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
- 4 Ms. Chamberlin, questions?
- 5 MS. CHAMBERLIN: Thank you.

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

7 BY MS. CHAMBERLIN:

6

- 9 Q. Mr. Shelnitz, I'm looking at your testimony, Page 9 of 9, where you talk about the true-up credit for the LNS expense. And, it says "The cause of the true-up credit
- was lower rate base". Is that PSNH rate base?
- 12 A. (Shelnitz) Well, yes. It would be, yes, lower PSNH rate base.
- Q. And, can you tell me what came out of rate base to make it lower?
- 16 A. (Shelnitz) Well, actually, it was there were some deferred tax adjustments that lowered the rate base.
- Q. Okay. And, then, the revenue credits is Regional

  Network Service revenue credits. Is that due to a

  forecast true-up or is there some significant change in

  those?
- 22 A. (Shelnitz) No. That was due to higher loads during 23 that period.
- 24 Q. And, are the higher loads related to PSNH or is that a

{DE 14-134} {06-24-14}

- 1 more systemwide --
- 2 A. (Shelnitz) That would be more systemwide.
- Q. Okay. And, higher loads, can you tell me what drove the higher loads or was it the economy or --
- A. (Shelnitz) I believe it was the colder winter than normal. And, so, it produced more RNS revenues in general than what was included in the forecast.
- MS. CHAMBERLIN: That's all I have.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Ms.
- Amidon.
- MS. AMIDON: Thank you. Good afternoon.
- 13 WITNESS SHELNITZ: Good afternoon.
- 14 BY MS. AMIDON:
- 15 Q. These rates, if I'm correct, are based on FERC-approved tariffs, is that right?
- 17 A. (Shelnitz) Yes. That's correct.
- 18 Q. So, essentially, these are pass-through rates?
- 19 A. (Shelnitz) Yes. I believe that would be a fair characterization.
- Q. Okay. And, it sounds like the reason that the rate is going down, and correct me if I'm wrong, is that, in the course of the reconciliation, you had to take into account certain credits or true-ups and that type of

{DE 14-134} {06-24-14}

- 1 thing?
- 2 A. (Shelnitz) Yes. That was the -- the large primary
- driver was a credit true-up related to LNS service over
- 4 the prior year.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. (Shelnitz) So, it's in the reconciliation period.
- 7 Q. Okay. I just wanted to clarify that. So, it has
- 8 nothing to do with the forecast rates, it's the
- 9 reconciliation?
- 10 A. (Shelnitz) Correct. The forecast rates are actually,
- 11 like for RNS, is actually going up for the 2014 into
- 12 2015 year.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- 14 A. (Shelnitz) You're welcome.
- 15 Q. That was the kind of information I was looking for.
- 16 A. (Shelnitz) Okay.
- 17 Q. With respect to -- well, let me ask you, are you
- 18 familiar with a recent order that was issued by FERC
- regarding the ROE allowed for certain transmission
- 20 facilities?
- 21 A. (Shelnitz) Yes. I was able to obtain some information
- about that.
- 23 Q. And, at this point, all I know about it, to be totally
- 24 honest, is that it would -- it recommends a ROE of

1 10.57 percent?

- 2 A. (Shelnitz) For the base ROE, yes.
- Q. For the base ROE? Okay. And, since you had time to take a look at it, do you know if -- would you expect that this would have any impact on the TCAM adjustments for next year?
  - A. (Shelnitz) I have been told that the process from here on out can take some time. There is now an appeal process that occurs, where there's briefs, followed by reply briefs. And, that the earliest those refunds might start getting processed into the RNS rates would be sometime next year. And, it has to be done by, I guess, all the New England utilities, transmission utilities. And, so, I'm being told that it would most likely be the end of 2015 before those refunds would start to come through to customers.
- 17 Q. And, do you expect that there will be refunds?
  - A. (Shelnitz) At this point, our Transmission Group is still looking at the order. And, I've been told that there could be some changes to that, that ROE, but it would not be -- it would be within a band around the 10.57.
- MS. AMIDON: Okay. Thank you. One moment please.

```
1
                         (Atty. Amidon conferring with Staff
 2
                         representatives.)
    BY MS. AMIDON:
 3
          Do you have an estimate that, if the order holds at the
 4
     Q.
 5
          10.57, what the amount of refunds might be?
 6
          (Shelnitz) I do not have that right now.
 7
          Okay. That's something that we can look -- we can have
     Ο.
 8
          more discussion about next year, it sounds like?
          (Shelnitz) Yes.
 9
10
                         MS. AMIDON: Okay. Fair enough. That's
11
       all I have. Thank you.
12
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
                                                         Thank
13
       you. Any questions, Commissioner Honigberg?
14
                         CMSR. HONIGBERG: I have no questions.
15
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I have just very
16
       little.
17
     BY CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS:
18
     Q.
          But I am curious, Mr. Shelnitz, in your testimony at
19
          Page 6, you talk about "Hydro-Quebec costs and
          revenues". Does that "Hydro-Quebec" reference have
20
21
          anything to do with the Northern Pass proposal that's a
22
          joint venture between Hydro-Quebec and Northeast
```

{DE 14-134} {06-24-14}

23

24

Α.

Utilities?

(Shelnitz) No, it does not.

- Q. So, what's the reference in there to "Hydro-Quebec costs and revenues"?
- A. (Shelnitz) I believe this is a line that has been in service for some time. It does bring Hydro-Quebec power down into New England. And, I believe that we have a contract that supports that facility. And, that's what the expenses are that go through the TCAM. And, then, there's revenues that also result from that contract.
- 10 Q. And, it looks as though, from your testimony, that
  11 that's a contract that runs into 2020, is that right?
- 12 A. (Shelnitz) Yes.
- Q. You broke these transmission costs into "wholesale" and
  "other". And, in "wholesale", which is a nice, orderly
  way of looking at it, the "wholesale" ones, the four
  you listed, "RNS", "LNS", "Reliability costs", and
  "Scheduling and Dispatch costs", all of those you
  described as being "regulated by FERC", correct?
  - A. (Shelnitz) Yes.

- Q. So, the amounts that are required by FERC then get passed through, and our role here is just the proper allocation of any of those costs into retail rates?
- 23 A. (Shelnitz) Yes.
- 24 Q. How about the "other transmission" costs? Are they --

```
1
          what's the breakdown and what's under FERC jurisdiction
          and what might be under state jurisdiction?
 2
 3
     Α.
          (Shelnitz) Well, the assessment -- the "NHPUC
 4
          assessment costs" would be under state jurisdiction.
          also believe the "TCAM working capital" would be under
 5
 6
          state jurisdiction, because that was working capital
 7
          that was at one time being recovered through the
          distribution rates, and the decision was made to move
 8
 9
          it over to the TCAM. The "Hydro-Quebec support costs",
10
          I'm really not sure on that. I would have to dig into
11
          it a little more.
12
                         MS. AMIDON: Madam Chair?
13
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, Ms. Amidon.
14
                         MS. AMIDON: Could I refer the witness
15
       to the testimony at the top of Page 6?
16
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Please.
17
                         MS. AMIDON: In response to the
18
       Chairman's last question.
19
                         WITNESS SHELNITZ:
                                            Yes.
    BY CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS:
20
21
          So that that contract is under FERC approval and --
22
          (Shelnitz) Right. It's under FERC approval. But I
23
          know, at one time, that it was in another mechanism.
24
          And, the Commission had us move it to TCAM.
                                                       So, that's
```

19 [WITNESS PANEL: Shelnitz~Jones] 1 what I was referring to, in terms of control. Okay. And, that's a similar sort of adjustment that 2 Q. 3 you proposed in this case, the moving the revenues into Energy Service and out of TCAM, correct? 4 5 Α. (Shelnitz) Yes. The Black Start and VAR revenues? 6 Q. 7 (Shelnitz) Yes. I would characterize it as the same Α. 8 type of change. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I don't have any 9 10 other questions. Is there any redirect, Mr. Fossum? 11 MR. FOSSUM: No. Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Then, you're both 13 Thank you. excused. 14 Is there any objection to striking the 15

identification on the two new exhibits and making them permanent exhibits to the docket?

(No verbal response)

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Seeing none, we'll do that. Anything else before closing statements?

(No verbal response)

21 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If not, then,

Ms. Chamberlin, let's begin with you.

23 MS. CHAMBERLIN: The OCA does not object

24 to the TCAM proposal.

16

17

18

19

20

20

```
1
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS:
                                             Thank you.
                                                         Ms.
       Amidon.
 2
 3
                         MS. AMIDON: Staff has reviewed the
 4
       filing and has determined that the TCAM has been
 5
       calculated -- the proposed rate and the reconciliation
 6
       were calculated in accordance with the Settlement
 7
       Agreement in 09-035 and related filings. And, we believe
 8
       the filing should be approved.
 9
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Fossum.
10
                         MR. FOSSUM: Thank you. The Company
11
       would request that the TCAM filing be accepted by the
12
       Commission. That the rate, as proposed in the filing, be
13
       put into effect as proposed as a just and reasonable rate.
14
       The Company believes that this filing -- that this rate
15
       change is consistent with its most recently filed and
16
       approved Least Cost Integrated Resource Plan. And, would
17
       request that the Commission's approval of the rate be
18
       provided in sufficient time to permit a rate change on
19
       July 1, 2014, along with the other rates that we have
20
       looked at in the prior -- in the dockets earlier today, as
21
       well as the rate that we'll look at in a little bit.
22
       Thank you.
23
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: And, I don't
```

remember you just now, although you may have said it and

1	it went right by me, whether this proposal is consistent
2	with the Company's Least Cost Integrated Resource Plan?
3	MR. FOSSUM: Yes, it is.
4	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I'm told you just
5	said it. That's scary.
6	CMSR. HONIGBERG: I actually think it's
7	written on his left wrist.
8	MR. FOSSUM: Pending the passage of HB
9	1540, yes, it's an important thing.
10	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: We will take this
11	under advisement. And, we understand the effective date
12	that you're seeking is right around the corner, and we
13	will act forthwith. So, this hearing is adjourned.
14	(Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at
15	1:57 p.m.)
16	
17	
17 18	
18	
18 19	
18 19 20	
18 19 20 21	